UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/798,682 | 03/11/2004 | Joel A. Schwartz | 10189-002001 | 6249 |
| 26161 FISH & RICHA | 7590 01/14/201 ARDSON PC | EXAMINER | | |
| P.O. BOX 1022 | _ | PAINTER, BRANON C | | |
| MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | 3635 | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 01/14/2010 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATDOCTC@fr.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|-------------------|--|--|
| 10/798,682 | SCHWARTZ, JOEL A. | | |
| | | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |

| The MAILING DATE of this communication a | appears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence address |
|--|---|---|
| THE REPLY FILED <u>14 December 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE | THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION F | OR ALLOWANCE. |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to capplication, applicant must timely file one of the follow application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with | ving replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance v | t, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request |
| periods: a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the m | calling data of the final rejection | |
| b) The period for reply expiresmonths from the months and the months are the months and the months are | his Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth i pire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | g date of the final rejection. |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706 | | THICH ILL ET WAS FIELD WITHIN TWO |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70 NOTICE OF APPEAL | of extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply original later than three months after the mailing date | of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nally set in the final Office action; or (2) as |
| 2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in c | ompliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be f | filed within two months of the date of |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any of Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be file AMENDMENTS | extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejecti | ion, but prior to the date of filing a brief | will not be entered because |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require furthe | | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE | • | , =, |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application ir appeal; and/or | n better form for appeal by materially rec | ducing or simplifying the issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without cancelin NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33 | | ected claims. |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR | | mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection | | mphant, monamont (1 1 oz oz 1). |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be non-allowable claim(s). | | imely filed amendment canceling the |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | l be entered and an explanation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-5,7-9,13-20,22 and 23</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action because applicant failed to provide a showing of good was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of f entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is neces | to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | al and/or appellant fails to provide a |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explar REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | nation of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attached. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considere | d but does NOT place the application in | condition for allowance because: |
| 12. | (s), (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). | |
| 13. Other: The amendments clarify the dependency of contents of the contents o | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | the same manner set forth in the |
| rejection. The reply period was set forth in the Notice of No | | 200.00 |
| Burney Deinter | | |
| Branon Painter | /Basil Katcheves/ | |
| Examiner Art Unit: 3633 | Primary Examiner, Art U | nit 3635 |
| 7 H. OTHE. 0000 | | |